

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/900,737               | 07/06/2001        | John David Whitenack | 13DV13763               | 7008             |
| 29399                    | 7590 12/11/2006   |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| JOHN S. BEULICK (12729)  |                   |                      | O'CONNOR, GERALD J      |                  |
| C/O ARMST                | RONG TEASDALE LLP |                      |                         | <del></del>      |
| ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE  |                   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SUITE 2600               |                   |                      | 3627                    |                  |
| ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740 |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(a)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/900,737  | Whitenack et al.  |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | O'Connor  | 3627  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>December 4, 2006</u> FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.                  | cation. A proper reply to a chapter that can be called a chapter that can |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR R  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin  | g date of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>later than three months after the mailing | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or (2)  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to   | pecause:  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth  | her consideration and/or search   | (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);   | ,   |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by mat  | erially reducing or simplifying the   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:  | eling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje  | ction(s):   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted in a s  | separate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  | or reconsideration has been cons  | sidered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen  | <u> </u>  |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | :   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:none  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap   | The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | •   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |   | $\overline{}$   |  |  |  |

Gerald J. O'Connor Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627